

Exercises

- 1. Proposition Check.** For each sentence below, determine whether it is a proposition. If it is, state whether its truth value is true, false, or currently unknown.
 - (a) 9 is divisible by 3.
 - (b) $x + 1 = 4$.
 - (c) Solve $x^2 - 1 = 0$.
 - (d) There are infinitely many twin primes.
 - (e) Is 17 prime?
- 2. Classification.** For each of the following sentences, determine if it is a proposition, a term, or neither. If it is a proposition, state its truth value (\top or \perp). If it is neither, briefly explain why.
 - (a) The sum of the angles in any triangle is 180 degrees.
 - (b) $(x + y)^2$.
 - (c) Will you pass this course?
 - (d) There exists a prime number greater than 100.
 - (e) This statement is false.
 - (f) Add 5 to both sides of the equation.
- 3. Truth Values.** Determine the truth value of each proposition.
 - (a) $19 - 4 = 12$ if and only if 3 is a prime number.
 - (b) If $1 + 1 = 5$, then $1 + 1 = 3$.
 - (c) If the moon is a star, then so is the sun.
 - (d) If 5 is a prime number, then the Earth is flat.
 - (e) $0 > 1$ if and only if $2 > 1$.
 - (f) Either Toronto is the capital of Canada or Hamburg is the capital of Germany.
- 4. Your Own Examples.** Give:
 - (a) a true proposition,
 - (b) a false proposition,
 - (c) a proposition whose truth value you do not currently know,
 - (d) a sentence that is not a proposition.
- 5. Atomic Statements.** Identify the atomic statements in each compound proposition.
 - (a) "7 is prime and 12 is even."
 - (b) "If n is divisible by 6, then n is even."
 - (c) " $x > 0$ or $x = 0$."
- 6. Symbol to Words.** Let p : "12 is even" and q : "12 is prime". Write in words:
 - (a) $p \wedge q$
 - (b) $p \vee q$
 - (c) $\neg q$
 - (d) $q \rightarrow p$
- 7. Words to Symbols.** Let p : "John is a mathematician" and q : "John lives in Pittsburgh". Express in symbolic form:
 - (a) John is a mathematician and lives in Pittsburgh.
 - (b) John is a mathematician or lives in Pittsburgh.
 - (c) John does not live in Pittsburgh.
 - (d) If John is a mathematician, then he lives in Pittsburgh.
- 8. Translation.** Let p be the proposition "It is raining," let q be "The wind is blowing," and let r be "The ground is wet." Translate the following English sentences into propositional formulae using these variables and the logical connectives $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow$.
 - (a) It is not raining, but the wind is blowing.

- (b) If it is raining, then the ground is wet.
- (c) The ground is wet only if it is raining.
- (d) For the ground to be wet, it is sufficient that it is raining.
- (e) Either it is raining or the wind is blowing, but not both.
- (f) The ground is wet if and only if it is raining.

9. Basic Truth Tables. Construct a truth table for each proposition:

- (a) $\neg p \vee q$
- (b) $p \wedge \neg q$
- (c) $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg p$

10. XOR Truth Tables. Construct a truth table for each proposition:

- (a) $p \oplus (p \vee q)$
- (b) $p \wedge (q \oplus u)$
- (c) $(p \wedge q) \oplus (p \wedge u)$
- (d) $(p \leftrightarrow q) \oplus (p \rightarrow q)$

11. The Contrapositive. For an implication $p \rightarrow q$, we can define three related conditional statements:

- The converse: $q \rightarrow p$.
- The inverse: $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$.
- The contrapositive: $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$.

Use truth tables to prove that an implication is logically equivalent to its contrapositive, but is not logically equivalent to its converse or its inverse.

12. Tautologies. A proposition that is true for every possible assignment of truth values to its variables is called a *tautology*. A proposition that is always false is a *contradiction*. By constructing a truth table, determine whether the following formula is a tautology, a contradiction, or neither.

$$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg p$$

13. De Morgan's Laws. In the text, a brief mention was made of the duality between conjunction and disjunction. This relationship is formalised by De Morgan's Laws.

- (a) Use a truth table to prove the logical equivalence $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q)$.
- (b) Consider the English sentence: "It is not the case that the test is both easy and long." Using part (a), write an equivalent sentence that does not use the word "both".

14. Conditional Language. Let p : " x is a multiple of 4" and q : " x is even". Write each statement symbolically:

- (a) If x is a multiple of 4, then x is even.
- (b) x is even only if x is a multiple of 4.
- (c) x is a multiple of 4 if and only if x is even.

15. Necessary vs Sufficient. In each statement below, identify which condition is necessary and which is sufficient.

- (a) If n is divisible by 6, then n is divisible by 3.
- (b) If a number is a square, then it is nonnegative.
- (c) A function is continuous only if it is defined at every point of its domain.

16. Necessary and Sufficient Conditions. Translate the following statements into the form $p \rightarrow q$. Clearly define what propositions your variables p and q represent.

- (a) A necessary condition for a number to be divisible by 6 is that it is divisible by 3.
- (b) Being over 18 is a sufficient condition for being eligible to vote.
- (c) You can access the network only if you have a password.

- 17. The Biconditional.** The text defines the biconditional $p \leftrightarrow q$ as being true when p and q have the same truth value. Prove, using a truth table, that this is logically equivalent to the conjunction of an implication and its converse. That is, prove:

$$(p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv ((p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p))$$

- 18. Parentheses and Meaning.** Insert parentheses to make the intended meaning explicit:

- (a) $\neg p \vee q$
- (b) $p \rightarrow q \vee r$
- (c) $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$
- (d) $p \leftrightarrow q \vee r$

- 19. Fully Parenthesised Form.** Using precedence rules, rewrite each formula with full parentheses:

- (a) $\neg p \wedge q$
- (b) $p \vee q \rightarrow r$
- (c) $\neg p \vee q \leftrightarrow r$

- 20. Functional Completeness.** A set of logical connectives is called *functionally complete* if all other connectives can be expressed using only connectives from that set. Consider the "NAND" connective, denoted by the Sheffer stroke $|$, with the following truth table:

p	q	$p q$
\top	\top	\perp
\top	\perp	\top
\perp	\top	\top
\perp	\perp	\top

Notice that $p|q$ is equivalent to $\neg(p \wedge q)$. Show that the set $\{| \}$ is functionally complete by finding formulae, using only the NAND connective, that are logically equivalent to:

- (a) $\neg p$
- (b) $p \wedge q$
- (c) $p \vee q$